Technological Evolution in Petroleum Refining and Petrochemicals: 1999-2024

Executive Summary

The petroleum refining and petrochemical industries have undergone significant technological transformation over the past quarter-century (circa 1999-2024). This evolution has been driven by a confluence of factors, including volatile energy markets, increasingly stringent environmental regulations mandating cleaner products and lower emissions, a fundamental shift in demand favoring petrochemicals over traditional transportation fuels, and the overarching imperative of decarbonization within the global energy transition. Key innovations have centered on enhancing process efficiency, improving product quality, managing environmental impact, and strategically repositioning assets.

Catalysis remains the bedrock of refining, with major advancements in Fluid Catalytic Cracking (FCC) through tailored additives like ZSM-5 and hierarchically structured catalysts to maximize valuable yields and process heavier feeds. Hydroprocessing technologies have seen the deployment of highly active catalysts and rejuvenation techniques enabling the production of ultra-low sulfur fuels (ULSD) and the conversion of bottom-of-the-barrel residues. Environmental technologies have moved beyond traditional pollutant control to tackle greenhouse gas emissions, with Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage (CCUS) emerging as a critical, albeit costly, decarbonization lever, marked by significant projects targeting hydrogen production and industrial hubs (e.g., Porthos, ExxonMobil Baytown).

The digital revolution has permeated the sector, with IIoT, AI/ML, and digital twins being implemented to optimize complex operations, enhance reliability through predictive maintenance, and improve safety and decision-making, as demonstrated by deployments at facilities like BPCL Kochi and by majors like Shell and ExxonMobil. Strategically, the industry is navigating the decline in fuel demand by intensifying integration between refining and petrochemicals, leading to large-scale Crude-to-Chemicals (COTC) investments, particularly in Asia and the Middle East (e.g., Hengli, ZPC, Aramco/SABIC initiatives). Concurrently, driven by renewable fuel mandates and decarbonization goals, significant investment has flowed into biofuel production, including refinery conversions (e.g., Phillips 66 Rodeo) and co-processing of bio-feedstocks, dominated commercially by hydrotreated vegetable oil (HVO) pathways pioneered by companies like Neste.

However, not all technological pathways pursued have achieved commercial success.

Gas-to-Liquids (GTL) technology, despite producing high-quality fuels, remains economically challenged by high capital costs and dependence on favorable oil-to-gas price differentials. Similarly, the direct integration of biomass pyrolysis oil into refineries has been hindered by the oil's poor quality, high upgrading costs, and complex integration challenges. The industry's trajectory continues towards greater efficiency, enhanced sustainability, increased feedstock flexibility (including renewables and recycled materials), deeper digitalization, and a fundamental reshaping of refinery assets into integrated energy and chemical complexes.

I. Introduction

Setting the Scene (1999-2024)

The period from the late 1990s to the present day has been one of profound change and challenge for the global petroleum refining and petrochemical industries. Operations have been shaped by a dynamic interplay of market forces, regulatory pressures, and technological capability. Crude oil price volatility has been a persistent feature, punctuated by periods of sharp increases and dramatic collapses, demanding operational flexibility and cost discipline.[1] Concurrently, environmental regulations have tightened progressively worldwide. Mandates for cleaner transportation fuels, such as ultra-low sulfur diesel (ULSD) and gasoline with reduced sulfur and aromatics content, required significant technological upgrades in hydroprocessing and catalytic reforming.[3] Beyond fuel quality, regulations governing facility emissions intensified, targeting sulfur oxides (SOx), nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), particulate matter, and, increasingly over the last decade, greenhouse gases (GHG), particularly carbon dioxide (CO2).[4]

Market demand patterns also underwent a structural shift. While demand for traditional transportation fuels in mature economies began to plateau or decline due to efficiency improvements and the rise of alternatives, the demand for petrochemicals – the building blocks for plastics, polymers, and myriad consumer goods – continued robust growth, particularly in developing economies.[8] This divergence created a powerful incentive for refiners to increase the integration between fuel and chemical production. Furthermore, the growing global focus on climate change, sustainability, and the energy transition exerted increasing pressure on the industry to decarbonize operations and products.[12] This spurred interest in technologies like CCUS, renewable energy integration, and the processing of alternative feedstocks such as biofuels and recycled materials. Technological advancements also played a crucial role, enabling refiners to process heavier, more challenging crude oils and residues, thereby improving margins and feedstock flexibility.[16]

Report Objective and Scope

This report analyzes the significant technological advancements and strategic shifts within the petroleum refining and petrochemical industries from approximately 1999/2000 to 2024. It aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the key innovations that have been developed and implemented during this period, focusing on:

1. **Core Process Technologies:** Improvements in catalysis, FCC, hydroprocessing (hydrocracking and hydrotreating), and catalytic reforming.
2. **Environmental Solutions:** Advancements in wastewater treatment, emissions control, and the emergence of CCUS and bioremediation.
3. **Digitalization:** The adoption and impact of IIoT, AI/ML, digital twins, and advanced automation.
4. **Strategic Integration and Diversification:** The trend towards refinery-petrochemical integration, COTC projects, and the incorporation of biofuels and renewable feedstocks.

For each area, the report identifies key technologies, provides specific real-world examples of implementation with details on location, companies involved, and capacity where available, and analyzes their impact on efficiency, product yield and quality, environmental performance, and economics. Furthermore, the report examines notable technologies developed during this timeframe that ultimately failed to achieve widespread commercialization, exploring the technical or economic reasons behind their limited adoption. The analysis draws upon industry reports, technical literature, and case studies to present an evidence-based perspective on the sector's technological trajectory over the last 25 years.

II. Advancements in Core Refining Processes & Catalysis

Catalysis: The Engine of Transformation

Catalysis has long been the cornerstone of petroleum refining, enabling the efficient conversion of crude oil into valuable fuels and chemical feedstocks. Many refining processes would be economically unviable without catalysts, requiring impractically high temperatures or pressures.[4] Over the last 25 years, the role of catalysis has become even more critical, driven by the need to meet stringent fuel specifications, process increasingly difficult feedstocks, maximize yields of high-value products, and minimize environmental impact.[3] The period has witnessed a significant evolution from incremental improvements towards the development of highly engineered catalytic materials and advanced synthesis techniques designed to tackle specific challenges.

The development and implementation of advanced catalysts represent a direct response to the dual pressures of stricter environmental mandates and the economic necessity of processing heavier, more contaminated, or diverse feedstocks like residues, opportunity crudes, and bio-based materials.[16] Traditional catalysts often struggled with the demands of deep desulfurization, cracking large molecules efficiently, or resisting poisons present in these challenging feeds.[6] Consequently, research and development efforts intensified, focusing on creating catalysts with precisely tailored functionalities. This includes enhancing specific reaction pathways (like hydrodesulfurization or olefin production), improving diffusion within catalyst particles for large molecules through engineered porosity, increasing resistance to common poisons like sulfur, and enhancing stability under the harsh operating conditions found in modern refining units.[3] This marks a fundamental shift towards "designer catalysts," engineered using sophisticated synthesis methods to address specific, complex processing objectives.

Fluid Catalytic Cracking (FCC) Evolution

The FCC unit remains a pivotal process in most refineries, primarily responsible for converting heavy gas oils into lighter, more valuable products like gasoline and light olefins. Technological advancements over the past 25 years have focused on enhancing its flexibility, maximizing the yield of desired products (particularly propylene for petrochemicals), and enabling the processing of more challenging feedstocks, including atmospheric residue and, more recently, bio-based oils.

- **Key Innovations:**
  - **ZSM-5 Additives:** The incorporation of ZSM-5 zeolite as an additive to the main FCC catalyst inventory became widespread practice. ZSM-5's unique pore structure selectively cracks gasoline-range molecules into lighter olefins, primarily propylene, and enhances gasoline octane.[18] Commercial implementation typically involves adding ZSM-5 at levels of a few percent up to potentially 5-10% of the catalyst inventory, with the exact amount limited by the need to balance the gain in olefins and octane against potential gasoline yield loss and impacts on fuel properties.[20] Studies show that ZSM-5 addition in conventional units can increase propylene yields significantly, sometimes by up to 8-9% relative to the base catalyst.[21] The effectiveness correlates with the additive's acidity and particle size.[20]
  - **Hierarchically Structured Catalysts:** Recognizing the limitations of purely microporous zeolites (like Zeolite Y, the workhorse of FCC) in efficiently cracking large hydrocarbon molecules found in heavier feedstocks, catalysts

with hierarchical pore structures were developed. These materials incorporate mesopores (2-50 nm) and sometimes macropores (>50 nm) alongside the essential micropores (<2 nm). This multi-level porosity enhances the diffusion of bulky reactant molecules to the active sites within the micropores and facilitates the escape of product molecules, leading to improved conversion, better selectivity, and reduced coke formation.[3]
    - **Residue Fluid Catalytic Cracking (RFCC):** To handle heavier feedstocks like atmospheric residue, which contain high levels of contaminants (metals like nickel and vanadium, sulfur, nitrogen) and coke precursors, specialized RFCC units and catalysts were developed. These often feature robust catalyst formulations with high tolerance to metals, optimized matrix components for pre-cracking large molecules, and designs capable of handling higher heat loads from burning more coke in the regenerator.[21]
    - **Petrochemical FCC:** Driven by the growing demand for light olefins (ethylene, propylene) as petrochemical feedstocks, specialized FCC processes emerged. Technologies like UOP's PetroFCC™ and the High Severity FCC (HS-FCC™) operate at significantly higher temperatures (up to 600°C or more) and catalyst-to-oil ratios compared to conventional fuel-oriented FCC units. These conditions maximize the cracking reactions that produce light olefins, potentially increasing yields from ~14% to over 40%.[21] Such units require modifications, particularly in the gas recovery section, often employing cryogenic processes instead of traditional absorption columns due to the higher volumes of light gases produced.[21]
- **Example Implementation:** The LUKOIL Neftohim Burgas (LNB) refinery in Bulgaria provides a case study of adapting FCC operations to changing feedstocks. Following the start-up of an H-Oil ebullated-bed hydrocracker for vacuum residue in 2015, the VGO produced from this unit became a significant component of the FCCU feed. This hydrotreated feed had different characteristics than the previous straight-run VGO, impacting FCC performance. The refinery evaluated different FCC catalysts, comparing types with lower porosity/higher activity against those with higher porosity/lower activity, finding that the optimal catalyst choice was feedstock-dependent and influenced factors like conversion and slurry oil yield, a key driver of profitability.[18]
- **Impact:** These FCC advancements have provided refiners with greater operational flexibility, enabling them to adapt to shifting market demands (more petrochemicals, specific fuel grades) and process a wider range of feedstocks, including lower-cost opportunity crudes and residues. This has been crucial for maintaining profitability in a challenging market environment.

Hydroprocessing (Hydrocracking & Hydrotreating): The Clean Fuels Workhorse

Hydroprocessing encompasses processes that treat refinery streams with hydrogen in the presence of a catalyst, primarily to remove impurities (sulfur, nitrogen, metals) and/or to crack heavy molecules into lighter ones. Driven relentlessly by environmental regulations mandating ultra-low levels of sulfur in transportation fuels (ULSD, Tier 3 gasoline) and the economic incentive to upgrade heavy fractions and residues, hydroprocessing technologies and catalysts have seen continuous and significant development over the last 25 years.[5]

- **Key Innovations:**
  - **Advanced Hydrotreating Catalysts:** The push for ULSD (<10-15 ppm sulfur) necessitated catalysts with significantly higher hydrodesulfurization (HDS) activity compared to those used for earlier low-sulfur diesel standards. Highly active cobalt-molybdenum (CoMo) and nickel-molybdenum (NiMo) catalysts, typically supported on gamma-alumina (γ–Al2O3), became standard.[3] Commercial units using advanced CoMo/Al2O3 catalysts demonstrated sulfur removal efficiencies exceeding 95%.[3] Further improvements focused on optimizing catalyst morphology, support interactions, and promoter effects. Trimetallic systems, such as NiMoW sulfides, were developed specifically for ultra-deep HDS applications to remove the most refractory sulfur compounds.[3] Research also explored enhancing stability, for instance, through doping with isolated cobalt atoms to improve hydrodeoxygenation stability, potentially allowing lower temperature operation.[3]
  - **Advanced Hydrocracking Catalysts:** Hydrocracking aims to convert heavy feeds (VGO, residues) into lighter, high-value products like diesel, jet fuel, and naphtha. Advancements focused on developing catalysts with balanced hydrogenation and cracking functions. Bifunctional catalysts, typically containing noble metals (like Platinum or Palladium) or non-noble metals (like NiMo or NiW) on acidic supports (often zeolites like Y-zeolite embedded in an amorphous matrix), were refined for improved activity, selectivity towards desired middle distillates, and stability.[3] Optimized metal-based catalysts, such as Ni-Mo on Al2O3, achieved high conversion efficiencies (up to 90%) with significant yields of middle distillates (~60%) under optimal conditions.[3]
  - **Nanocatalysts:** The potential of nanocatalysts in hydroprocessing, particularly hydrocracking, gained attention. Their extremely high surface area-to-volume ratio offers a significantly larger number of active sites compared to conventional catalysts, potentially leading to higher conversion rates and improved selectivity towards valuable products like diesel and jet fuel.[3] However, scaling up production and ensuring long-term stability remain

areas of development.

  - **Catalyst Rejuvenation:** Given the high cost of hydroprocessing catalysts, technologies for regenerating and rejuvenating spent catalysts gained commercial importance. While regeneration primarily involves burning off coke deposits, rejuvenation goes further, aiming to redisperse the active metal phases that may have agglomerated during operation, thereby restoring activity closer to that of fresh catalyst.[23] Companies like Evonik developed processes (e.g., Excel rejuvenation) claiming to restore near-fresh activity and stability for ULSD catalysts.[23] This offers significant cost savings (potentially ~50% compared to purchasing fresh catalyst) and substantial environmental benefits by reducing the need for energy-intensive fresh catalyst manufacturing (estimated reduction of ~6000 kg CO2 per ton of fresh catalyst replaced).[23]
- **Example Implementation:** Operational case studies illustrate the complexities of implementing advanced hydroprocessing, even with sophisticated catalysts. A ULSD unit, despite smooth catalyst loading and sulfiding, experienced rapid activity loss shortly after startup, leading to a premature shutdown. The root cause was not specified in the snippet but highlights that factors beyond the catalyst itself (e.g., feed contaminants, operational upsets, flow maldistribution) can severely impact performance.[19] Another case study of an ultra-low sulfur kerosene (ULSK) unit showed lower-than-expected initial activity and an unusually low pressure drop, suggesting liquid maldistribution was preventing full utilization of the catalyst bed (estimated 25-30% non-utilization based on reaction kinetics).[19] These examples underscore the importance of proper unit design, loading procedures, feed management, and operational control to realize the full potential of advanced catalysts. Conversely, commercial data comparing rejuvenated NiMo catalysts with fresh NiMo catalysts has been used to demonstrate the stability and performance viability of rejuvenation technologies in demanding ULSD service.[23]
- **Impact:** Hydroprocessing advancements have been indispensable for enabling refineries to meet global clean fuel standards. They have allowed for the profitable upgrading of heavier, lower-quality crude fractions and residues into high-demand transportation fuels, enhancing refinery margins and flexibility. Catalyst rejuvenation technologies contribute further to cost reduction and improved sustainability.

Catalytic Reforming Enhancements

Catalytic reforming primarily aims to increase the octane number of naphtha for gasoline blending by converting paraffins and naphthenes into higher-octane isoparaffins and aromatics. It is also a major source of aromatics (benzene, toluene, xylenes - BTX) for the petrochemical industry and a significant source of hydrogen within the refinery. Developments over the last 25 years focused on improving catalyst activity, selectivity towards desired products (high-octane components, aromatics), and particularly, stability and tolerance to feed impurities like sulfur.

- **Key Innovations:** Modern reforming catalysts are typically based on platinum supported on alumina, often promoted with other metals to enhance performance. Bimetallic catalysts (e.g., Pt-Re, Pt-Sn) became standard, offering better stability and selectivity compared to monometallic Pt catalysts. Further research explored other formulations, such as Pt-Ru alloys, which demonstrated improved resistance to sulfur poisoning and could be regenerated via hydrogen treatment.[3] Modifying the support material, for instance by incorporating ceria (CeO_2) into the alumina support (Pt/CeO_2-Al_2O_3), also showed promise for enhancing sulfur tolerance and stability, crucial as refineries sometimes process feedstocks with higher sulfur content.[3] These advanced catalysts enable the production of reformate with high octane ratings (up to 95 RON cited).[3]
- **Impact:** Enhanced reforming catalysts contribute directly to the production of higher-quality gasoline meeting modern engine requirements. They also improve the yield and purity of valuable aromatic feedstocks for the petrochemical sector and enhance the overall hydrogen balance within the refinery, which is critical for supporting hydroprocessing operations. Improved sulfur tolerance increases operational flexibility and reduces the risk of catalyst deactivation.

Novel Catalyst Synthesis Methods

Underpinning many of the improvements in catalyst performance has been the development of advanced synthesis techniques that allow for unprecedented control over the catalyst's structure, composition, and morphology at the nanoscale. These methods move beyond traditional impregnation or precipitation techniques.

- **Examples:**
  - **Sol-Gel Process:** This method involves transitioning a colloidal solution (sol) into a solid gel network. It enables the production of highly homogeneous materials with precisely controlled composition, particle size, and porosity. It is particularly useful for creating mixed metal-oxide catalysts or materials with hierarchical pore structures where uniformity is critical for performance.[3]
  - **Microwave-Assisted Synthesis:** Utilizing microwave radiation allows for rapid and uniform heating of reactants at the molecular level. This can significantly shorten reaction times and lead to more consistent particle production, often resulting in catalysts with higher surface area, better

crystallinity, and improved dispersion of active sites. It has proven effective for synthesizing nanocatalysts and supported metal catalysts.[3]

  - **Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD):** ALD is a vapor-phase technique where thin films of material are deposited onto a substrate one atomic layer at a time in a sequential, self-limiting manner. This allows for extremely precise control over film thickness and composition, producing highly uniform and conformal coatings. In catalysis, ALD is used to deposit active metals or promoter layers onto supports with exceptional uniformity, leading to catalysts with enhanced resistance to sintering (particle growth at high temperatures) and improved longevity under demanding refinery conditions.[3]

- **Impact:** These advanced synthesis methods are crucial enablers for creating next-generation catalysts. They allow researchers and manufacturers to design catalysts with specific, optimized properties tailored to the demands of modern refining processes, pushing the boundaries of activity, selectivity, and durability, which ultimately translates to more efficient, cleaner, and profitable refinery operations.[3]

Table 1: Key Advanced Catalyst Technologies (Section II)

Technology Area	Key Innovation/Catalyst Type	Description/Mechanism	Primary Impact (Yield, Quality, Emissions, Feed Flexibility)	Example(s)
FCC	ZSM-5 Additive	Medium-pore zeolite additive cracks gasoline-range molecules to light olefins & isoparaffins.	Increases propylene yield, gasoline octane; slight gasoline yield loss.	Widely used, can boost C3= yield by up to 8-9% [18]
FCC	Hierarchical Catalysts (Micro-/Meso-/ Macroporous)	Multi-level pore structure improves diffusion of large reactant/product molecules.	Enhances cracking of heavy feeds, improves selectivity, reduces coke.	Improves processing of large molecules [3]

FCC	Residue FCC (RFCC) Catalysts	Robust formulations tolerant to high metals (Ni, V) and coke precursors in residue feeds.	Enables direct processing of atmospheric residue, increasing feedstock flexibility.	Specialized units for heavy feed processing [21]
FCC	Petrochemical FCC Catalysts (e.g., PetroFCC™, HS-FCC™)	Operated at high severity (temp., cat/oil) to maximize olefin production.	Significantly increases ethylene & propylene yields (e.g., >40% light olefins).	Requires modified unit design (e.g., cryogenic recovery) [21]
Hydrotreating (HDS)	Advanced CoMo, NiMo / Al2O3 Catalysts	Highly active catalysts for deep removal of sulfur, nitrogen, metals.	Enables production of ULSD (<10 ppm S), cleaner fuels, compliance.	>95% S removal achieved commercially [3]
Hydrotreating (HDS)	Trimetallic Catalysts (e.g., NiMoW)	Designed for removing highly refractory sulfur compounds.	Essential for meeting ultra-deep desulfurization targets.	Crucial for very low sulfur diesel [3]
Hydrotreating	Catalyst Rejuvenation (e.g., Evonik Excel)	Process to remove coke and redisperse active metals on spent catalysts.	Restores near-fresh activity, reduces catalyst cost (~50%), lowers CO2 footprint of catalyst supply.	Commercially applied, performance comparable to fresh [23]
Hydrocracking	Advanced Bifunctional Catalysts (Metals on Acidic Support)	Optimized balance of hydrogenation and cracking functions for heavy feed conversion.	High conversion (>90%) of VGO/residue to middle distillates (~60% yield).	Ni-Mo on Al2O3 example cited [3]
Hydrocracking	Nanocatalysts	High surface	Potential for	Area of research

		area provides more active sites.	higher activity and selectivity (diesel, jet).	and development [3]
Reforming	Advanced Pt-based Bimetallic/Multimetallic Catalysts (e.g., Pt-Re, Pt-Sn, Pt-Ru)	Improved activity, selectivity, and stability, especially sulfur tolerance.	Higher octane gasoline, increased aromatics production, improved H2 balance, feedstock flexibility.	Pt/CeO2-Al2O3, Pt-Ru examples [3]
Catalyst Synthesis	Sol-Gel, Microwave-Assisted, Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD)	Techniques for precise control over catalyst structure, composition, particle size, porosity at nanoscale.	Enables creation of tailored catalysts with optimized performance (activity, selectivity, durability).	Foundational for next-gen catalyst development [3]

III. Environmental Technologies: Meeting Stricter Mandates & Decarbonization

The environmental performance of refineries and petrochemical plants has been under intense scrutiny over the last 25 years. Initial regulatory drivers focused heavily on reducing criteria air pollutants (SOx, NOx, VOCs, particulates) and improving the quality of discharged water, leading to significant investments in technologies like hydrotreating (for sulfur removal in fuels), flue gas treatment, and wastewater purification.[6] However, the latter part of this period saw a decisive shift in focus towards mitigating greenhouse gas emissions and aligning with global decarbonization goals, bringing technologies like Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage (CCUS) to the forefront.

The evolution of environmental technology within the sector reflects this changing landscape. While the early 2000s were dominated by efforts to meet stringent fuel specifications like ULSD, necessitating major advances in HDS catalysis [3], the focus gradually broadened. Air quality rules drove innovations in controlling other emissions.[6] Subsequently, mounting concerns about climate change and the introduction of policies such as carbon pricing and net-zero targets placed CO2 emissions under the spotlight.[13] Refineries possess multiple CO2 emission sources, including combustion units (heaters, boilers), hydrogen production plants (Steam Methane Reforming - SMR), and FCC regenerators.[26] CCUS emerged as a primary technological solution to capture these emissions, but its high cost and complexity have shaped its deployment strategy.[26] Initial large-scale projects have logically targeted the most economically favorable sources – high-purity CO2 streams inherent in processes like SMR or gasification [25] – or have been integrated into new strategic initiatives like blue hydrogen production, where carbon capture is integral to the product's value.[27] This indicates a pragmatic, cost-driven approach focused on decarbonizing essential processes rather than an immediate attempt at capturing all emissions. The concurrent development of large CCUS hubs, designed to serve multiple industrial emitters via shared transport and storage infrastructure, further underscores the importance of economies of scale and collaborative approaches in managing the costs and complexities of decarbonization.[27]

Advanced Wastewater Treatment

Petroleum refinery wastewater (PRWW) is a complex mixture containing a diverse range of contaminants, including oil and grease, various petroleum hydrocarbons, phenols, ammonia, sulfides, and other organic and inorganic compounds, making its treatment challenging.[29] Conventional treatment methods often struggle to remove persistent or recalcitrant pollutants effectively.

- **Key Innovations:** To meet stricter discharge limits and explore water reuse opportunities, advanced treatment technologies have been investigated and implemented. Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs) have shown particular promise. Techniques like electrochemical oxidation and photocatalysis utilize powerful oxidizing agents (like hydroxyl radicals) to break down stubborn organic pollutants that resist conventional biological or physical treatments. Studies report high removal efficiencies, often exceeding 90%, for contaminants like Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) and phenols using AOPs.[29] Additionally, integrated or hybrid approaches, combining different physical, biological, and chemical treatment stages (e.g., membrane filtration followed by AOP), are increasingly employed to tackle the complexity of PRWW effectively.[29]
- **Impact:** These advancements lead to significantly improved quality of treated wastewater effluent, ensuring compliance with environmental regulations. They also open up possibilities for greater water recycling and reuse within the refinery, reducing freshwater consumption and enhancing overall sustainability.

Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage (CCUS)

CCUS encompasses a suite of technologies designed to capture CO2 emissions from large point sources, such as power plants and industrial facilities (including refineries and petrochemical plants), transport the captured CO2, and either utilize it in other processes or store it permanently in deep underground geological formations.[25] It is widely regarded as a critical technology for decarbonizing sectors where emissions are hard to abate, such as cement, steel, chemicals, and certain processes within refining (e.g., hydrogen production via SMR, FCC regeneration, combustion sources).[25]

- **Technologies:** Several approaches exist for capturing CO2:
  - **Post-Combustion Capture:** Separating CO2 from flue gases after fuel combustion, typically using chemical solvents (like amines). This is the most common approach for retrofitting existing facilities.[26]
  - **Pre-Combustion Capture:** Removing carbon from the fuel before combustion, often involving gasification or reforming processes to produce syngas (H2 and CO), followed by a water-gas shift reaction to convert CO to CO2, and then separating the CO2 before the hydrogen is used as fuel. This is generally less costly than post-combustion but typically applied to new facilities.[26] Hydrogen production via SMR with CO2 capture falls into this category.
  - **Oxy-Fuel Combustion:** Burning fuel in nearly pure oxygen instead of air results in a flue gas consisting mainly of CO2 and water, making CO2 separation much easier and potentially cheaper.[26]
  - **Other Methods:** Membrane separation (using selective membranes to separate CO2) and cryogenic separation (cooling gas streams to liquefy and separate CO2 based on boiling points) are also employed.[26] Direct Air Capture (DAC) technologies capture CO2 directly from the atmosphere but are significantly more energy-intensive and costly due to the very low CO2 concentration.[25]
- **Implementation Examples:** While CCUS deployment lagged behind initial expectations, momentum has grown significantly in recent years, with numerous projects announced or underway globally.[31] Examples relevant to the refining and petrochemical sector include:
  - **Operational:**
    - *Shell Quest (Alberta, Canada):* Captures ~1 Mtpa CO2 from an SMR hydrogen plant at the Scotford Upgrader/Refinery using Shell's ADIP-X amine solvent technology, storing it in a deep saline aquifer.[25]
    - *Air Products Port Arthur (Texas, USA):* Captures ~1 Mtpa CO2 from two SMR hydrogen plants using vacuum pressure swing adsorption (VPSA) technology, with the CO2 used for enhanced oil recovery (EOR).[25]

        - *NWR Sturgeon Refinery (Alberta, Canada):* Captures ~1.2 Mtpa CO_2 from gasification of bitumen residues using the Rectisol physical solvent process, transporting it via the Alberta Carbon Trunk Line for EOR and storage.[25] These operational examples often leverage processes where CO_2 is already present at relatively high concentrations (SMR, gasification), making capture inherently less expensive than from dilute flue gas streams.[26]
    - **Under Development/Construction:**
        - *Porthos Project (Rotterdam, Netherlands):* A major infrastructure project aiming to transport and store 2.5 Mtpa CO_2 captured from multiple industrial sources in the Port of Rotterdam, including refineries (Shell, ExxonMobil) and hydrogen producers (Air Liquide, Air Products). Construction is planned to start in 2024, with operations expected in 2026. CO_2 will be stored in depleted offshore gas fields.[28]
        - *ExxonMobil Baytown Blue Hydrogen Project (Texas, USA):* Plans for a world-scale low-carbon hydrogen plant (up to 1 billion cubic feet per day) with integrated CCUS. Aims to capture >98% of the CO_2 associated with hydrogen production (potentially 7-10 Mtpa) using Honeywell UOP technology, storing it underground. Startup targeted for 2027-2028. This project is a cornerstone of the proposed Houston CCUS hub.[27]
- **Impact & Challenges:** CCUS offers a pathway to achieve deep decarbonization (capture rates up to or exceeding 90% are often cited [32]) for essential industrial facilities, allowing them to continue operating while meeting climate targets.[14] However, significant challenges remain. Costs are substantial, particularly for CO_2 capture, which can account for up to 75% of the total project cost and varies widely depending on the CO_2 concentration in the source gas stream (ranging from ~$20/ton for high-purity streams to over $100-200/ton for dilute streams like cement or power plant flue gas).[26] The process also incurs an energy penalty, reducing the net output of the facility. Developing dedicated CO_2 transport infrastructure (pipelines, ships) and ensuring the long-term safety and permanence of geological storage sites are critical hurdles.[25] Public acceptance and establishing clear regulatory and permitting frameworks are also essential, as projects often face long lead times (up to 10 years).[31] Despite these challenges, the increasing number of projects and policy support (e.g., tax credits, funding) indicate a growing commitment to deploying CCUS as a key climate mitigation tool.[31]

Bioremediation

Bioremediation utilizes biological agents, primarily naturally occurring microorganisms like bacteria and fungi, to break down or transform environmental pollutants into less harmful substances.[34] In the context of the petroleum industry, it offers an approach for cleaning up soil and water contaminated with hydrocarbons resulting from spills or historical operations.

- **Application:** It is presented as a potentially more economical and environmentally friendly alternative to conventional physical or chemical remediation methods, which can be expensive and sometimes have adverse side effects.[34] Microbes indigenous to contaminated sites often possess the capability to degrade various hydrocarbon compounds using enzymes like dehydrogenases and oxygenases.[34] Research focuses on identifying robust microbial strains and understanding the metabolic pathways involved in biodegradation, although these pathways are not yet fully elucidated.[34] While effective for site cleanup, bioremediation is generally considered a remediation technique rather than an ongoing operational process technology integrated into daily refinery functions.

Table 2: Major CCUS Projects in Refining/Petrochemicals (Section III)

Project Name	Location	Company(ies)	Status (as of data)	CO2 Source	Capture Technology	Annual Capacity (Mtpa CO2)	Storage Location/Type	
Shell Quest	Alberta, Canada	Shell	Operational	SMR Hydrogen Plant (Refinery Upgrader)	Shell ADIP-X (Amine Solvent)	~1.0	Deep Saline Aquifer	25
Air Products Port Arthur	Texas, USA	Air Products	Operational	SMR Hydrogen Plants	Vacuum Pressure Swing Adsorption (VPSA)	~1.0	Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR)	25
NWR Sturgeon Refinery	Alberta, Canada	North West Redwater Partnership	Operational	Bitumen Gasification	Rectisol (Physical Solvent)	~1.2	EOR / Saline Aquifer (via ACTL)	25
Porthos Project	Rotterdam, Netherlands	Port of Rotterdam, Gasunie, EBN (Transport/Storage); Shell, ExxonMobil, Air Liquide, Air Products (Capture)	FID / Construction start 2024	Refineries, Hydrogen Production	Various (Amine, others likely)	2.5 (Initial Phase)	Offshore Depleted Gas Fields	28
ExxonMobil Baytown Blue Hydrogen	Texas, USA	ExxonMobil	Planned (FID expected 2024, Startup 2027-28)	SMR Hydrogen Plant	Honeywell UOP (likely amine-based)	7 - 10	Onshore Geological Storage (part of Houston Hub)	27
Air Liquide Cryocap H2	Port Jerome, France	Air Liquide	Operational	PSA Offgas (Hydrogen Plant)	Air Liquide Cryocap H2 (Cryogenic/Membrane Hybrid)	~0.1 (Estimate based on typical scale)	Likely EOR or Storage	25

Note: Status and capacity figures are based on information in the provided snippets and may have evolved.

IV. The Digital Revolution in Refining and Petrochemicals

Embracing Industry 4.0

Over the past decade to fifteen years, the refining and petrochemical industries have increasingly embraced digitalization, leveraging advances in sensors, data analytics, connectivity, and computing power to optimize increasingly complex operations.[35] Refineries and chemical plants are inherently data-rich environments, generating vast amounts of information from thousands of sensors and control points, making them ideal candidates for applying Industry 4.0 principles.[36] The goal is to transform this data into actionable insights that improve efficiency, reliability, safety, and sustainability.[35]

Key Enabling Technologies

The digital transformation in this sector is built upon several key technologies:

- **Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT):** This involves the widespread deployment of advanced sensors (measuring temperature, pressure, flow, vibration, composition, etc.) often equipped with wireless communication capabilities. IIoT enables the collection of real-time data from across the entire plant, including previously unmonitored assets.[35] Key challenges in the refinery environment include ensuring ubiquitous coverage across large, potentially hazardous areas, managing data throughput, guaranteeing high reliability and low latency for critical signals, and maintaining robust cybersecurity.[37]
- **Big Data Analytics & Artificial Intelligence/Machine Learning (AI/ML):** The massive datasets generated by IIoT systems are processed using advanced analytics techniques. AI and ML algorithms are employed to identify complex patterns, predict future performance or failures, diagnose problems, and recommend optimal operating conditions or actions.[35] AI shows potential to enhance control performance on highly non-linear or complex process units where traditional Advanced Process Control (APC) systems may face limitations.[37]
- **Digital Twins:** A digital twin is a dynamic virtual representation of a physical asset, process, or system. It integrates real-time data from sensors with physics-based simulation models, historical data, and potentially AI/ML models.[35] Digital twins are used for various purposes: real-time performance monitoring and visualization, simulating "what-if" scenarios (e.g., changing feedstocks, different operating conditions), troubleshooting operational problems, optimizing performance against economic or environmental objectives, predicting failures, and training operators in a safe virtual environment.[35] They can range from simple data dashboards to complex, high-fidelity models incorporating detailed molecular-level representations (like ExxonMobil's Structure-Oriented Lumping).[43]
- **Advanced Process Control (APC):** While APC systems have been used for

decades, modern iterations (e.g., Aspen DMC3™) leverage more powerful modeling techniques, integrate better with real-time data and optimization layers, and can be enhanced by digital twins and AI to provide more robust control over wider operating ranges and under more dynamic conditions.[42]
- **Automation & Robotics:** Automation is expanding beyond basic process control. Robots and drones are increasingly used for inspection tasks (e.g., pipeline monitoring, tank inspections), reducing human exposure to hazardous environments and improving efficiency.[12] Automation is also being applied to complex or repeatable field operations, sometimes guided by digital tools like augmented field procedure systems on tablets, which improve safety and communication between field technicians and control rooms.[39]

Applications and Impact

The deployment of these digital technologies is yielding tangible benefits across refinery and petrochemical operations:

- **Operational Efficiency & Optimization:** Real-time data combined with advanced analytics and digital twins allows for continuous monitoring and optimization of process units. This leads to improved product yields, reduced consumption of energy and utilities (savings up to 10% cited for integrated automation/power systems [45]), minimized product quality giveaways, and faster response to changing market conditions or feedstocks.[35]
- **Reliability & Maintenance:** By analyzing sensor data (e.g., vibration, temperature), AI/ML algorithms can predict potential equipment failures before they occur (predictive maintenance). This allows maintenance to be scheduled proactively, reducing costly unplanned downtime, extending the lifespan of critical equipment and catalysts, and improving overall asset utilization.[36]
- **Safety & Risk Management:** Enhanced real-time monitoring provides better situational awareness. Automation can remove personnel from hazardous tasks. Advanced analytics can identify subtle deviations from normal operation that may indicate emerging risks. Digital tools provide better decision support during abnormal situations.[38]
- **Sustainability & Compliance:** Digital systems enable more accurate monitoring and reporting of emissions, often using "soft sensors" (calculated values based on other measurements) where direct measurement is difficult.[41] This aids compliance and allows for optimization strategies that explicitly target emissions reduction or improved energy efficiency, thereby lowering the carbon intensity of operations.[35]
- **Supply Chain & Planning:** Digital tools facilitate better integration between plant

operations and broader business functions like planning, scheduling, and supply chain management. Improved demand forecasting and flexible manufacturing capabilities enhance responsiveness and profitability.[35]

Implementation Examples

Numerous refineries and petrochemical companies are actively implementing digital solutions:

- **BPCL Kochi Refinery (India):** Successfully deployed real-time, simulation-based (Aspen HYSYS®) digital twins for multiple major units, including the Crude Distillation Unit (CDU), Delayed Coker, and Hydrocracker. The goal was to gain better real-time insights into parameters difficult to measure directly, enabling improved operational decisions, higher yields of valuable products, and minimized quality giveaways.[42] They also implemented a digital twin for their Amine Regeneration Unit (ARU) specifically to optimize and reduce energy consumption, supporting sustainability goals.[42]
- **Indian Refinery Sulphur Unit:** Faced with challenges in optimizing its sulphur recovery unit for efficiency and environmental compliance, this refinery implemented Helium Consulting's Mboss IIoT platform. This system integrated real-time data with advanced process control and simulation models, providing operators and engineers with actionable insights via dashboards to optimize performance, diagnose issues early, and ensure compliance.[38]
- **Shenghong Petrochemical (China):** In partnership with Honeywell, integrated UOP process technology with Honeywell's digital intelligence solutions, including advanced control, for a Propane Dehydrogenation (PDH) unit. This resulted in a significant reduction in manual operator interventions (over 50%) and a measurable increase in propylene yield (over 0.1%), demonstrating the value of combining process knowledge with digital capabilities.[39]
- **Major Oil Companies:** Leading global energy companies are heavily investing in digitalization:
    - **BP:** Deployed its proprietary APEX digital twin system across its global oil and gas production assets, including the North Sea. APEX uses physics-based models integrated with real-time data to optimize production and preempt issues, reportedly boosting global production by 30,000 barrels per day.[44]
    - **Shell:** Partnered with Kongsberg Digital to implement digital twins across various assets, such as the Nyhamna gas processing plant in Norway. By integrating real-time sensor data, historical information, and engineering models, Shell achieved significant value, including recouping initial investment costs and reducing operating expenses, while optimizing energy use and

production.[44]

- **ExxonMobil:** Utilizes digital twin technology extensively in its refineries and petrochemical plants for process optimization, predictive maintenance, and risk reduction. They also employ advanced molecular modeling techniques like Structure-Oriented Lumping (SOL) within their digital frameworks to achieve deeper process understanding and optimization.[43]
- **Chevron:** Employs digital twin technology to create virtual replicas of oilfield assets, enabling real-time monitoring, predictive maintenance, reduced downtime, and optimized equipment performance.[44]

The accelerating adoption of digital technologies is not merely about achieving incremental efficiency improvements; it is fundamentally altering how refineries and petrochemical plants operate. These tools are becoming essential for managing the escalating complexity driven by factors like feedstock diversification (incorporating challenging bio-feeds or recycled plastics), tighter process integration (as seen in refinery-petrochemical schemes and COTC), increasingly stringent environmental targets, and the inherent volatility of energy markets.[1] Traditional operational paradigms and control systems often struggle to optimize performance under such multifaceted and dynamic conditions.[19] Digitalization, through the combined power of IIoT, AI, and digital twins, provides the necessary visibility, predictive capability, and simulation power to navigate these complexities.[35] This allows for holistic, real-time optimization across interconnected units, early prediction and mitigation of potential disruptions, rapid evaluation of different operating strategies or feedstock options, and seamless integration of operational decisions with planning and scheduling.[42] Consequently, digitalization serves as a foundational enabler, de-risking and facilitating the successful implementation of other major strategic initiatives like COTC, large-scale biofuel co-processing, or complex CCUS integration by providing the sophisticated management tools required to handle the inherent operational challenges.

Table 3: Digitalization Use Cases in Refining & Petrochemicals (Section IV)

Technology	Application Area	Specific Example/Company	Reported Benefit/Impact
Digital Twin (Simulation-based)	Process Optimization (CDU, Coker, Hydrocracker)	BPCL Kochi Refinery [42]	Improved yields, minimized quality giveaways, real-time insights, increased

			high-value products.
Digital Twin (Simulation-based)	Energy Efficiency (Amine Unit)	BPCL Kochi Refinery [42]	Reduced energy consumption.
IIoT Analytics Platform + APC + Simulation	Process Optimization (Sulphur Recovery Unit)	Indian Refinery / Helium Mboss [38]	Optimized sulphur circuit, improved environmental/economic performance, early issue diagnosis, unified monitoring/control.
Digital Intelligence + Advanced Control	Process Optimization (PDH Unit)	Shenghong Petrochemical / Honeywell [39]	Reduced manual operation >50%, increased propylene yield >0.1%.
Digital Twin (Physics-based)	Production Optimization (Oil & Gas Wells)	BP APEX System [44]	Enhanced surveillance, preempted issues, boosted global production by 30,000 bpd.
Digital Twin (Integrated Data)	Operational Efficiency, Cost Reduction (Gas Plant)	Shell / Kongsberg Digital (Nyhamna) [44]	Recouped investment, reduced OpEx (~$3M cited), optimized energy use & production.
Digital Twin + Molecular Modeling	Process Optimization, Asset Management (Refinery/Petchem)	ExxonMobil (using SOL) [43]	Enhanced process optimization, predictive capabilities, risk reduction.
Digital Twin	Predictive Maintenance, Ops Optimization (Oilfield Assets)	Chevron [44]	Real-time monitoring, reduced downtime, enhanced equipment performance.
AI/ML	Predictive	General Oil & Gas [40]	Reduced unplanned

	Maintenance		downtime, effective inspections, improved equipment/catalyst life, safer operations.
AI/ML	Data Management & Simulation	General Oil & Gas [40]	Handles large/complex datasets, improves simulation accuracy, reduces engineering hours.
Automation / Augmented Procedures	Field Operations Safety & Efficiency	General Downstream / ABB [45]	Improved communication, ensures procedural adherence, safer execution of tasks.
Automation / Robotics	Inspections	General Oil & Gas [12]	Drone use for pipeline inspections, improved safety and efficiency.

V. Strategic Integration and Diversification: Reshaping the Industry

The Driving Forces

The strategic landscape for the refining and petrochemical industries has been reshaped over the last two decades by powerful long-term trends. A growing consensus emerged around the concept of "peak oil demand," specifically for traditional transportation fuels, driven by vehicle efficiency improvements, electrification, and policy measures aimed at climate change mitigation.[9] While the timing remains debated, the prospect of flat or declining demand for gasoline and diesel in major markets prompted refiners to seek alternative growth avenues. In sharp contrast, the demand for petrochemicals – olefins (ethylene, propylene) and aromatics (benzene, toluene, xylenes) used to make plastics, synthetic fibers, solvents, and other materials – continued to show robust growth projections, often outpacing GDP growth, particularly in Asia.[8] This divergence created a strong economic rationale to shift refinery output towards chemical feedstocks. Simultaneously, the push for decarbonization and sustainability created opportunities and mandates for incorporating renewable feedstocks, such as biofuels, into the product slate.[14] These forces collectively drove a strategic reorientation towards maximizing value from each barrel of crude oil processed, increasing integration between refining and chemical operations, and diversifying feedstocks and products.[16]

Refinery-Petrochemical Integration & Crude-to-Chemicals (COTC)

The concept of integrating refinery operations with petrochemical production is not new, but the scale and depth of integration pursued over the last 10-15 years represent a significant strategic shift, culminating in the development of Crude-to-Chemicals (COTC) complexes.

- **Concept and Goals:** Integration strategies exist on a spectrum. Moderate integration might involve extracting existing propylene streams from FCC units or aromatics from reformate streams for chemical use.[10] Higher integration typically involves adding or expanding units like steam crackers specifically designed to process refinery-derived feedstocks (naphtha, LPG, off-gases).[10] COTC represents the most advanced form of integration, where the entire complex is designed and operated with the primary goal of maximizing the direct conversion of crude oil into chemical feedstocks and intermediates, minimizing the production of traditional fuels.[11] The objective is to dramatically increase the chemical yield per barrel of crude oil from the traditional 8-12% range up to 40-50% in first-generation COTC configurations (often using optimized arrangements of commercially proven technologies), and potentially as high as 70-80% in next-generation concepts employing novel cracking and separation technologies.[10]
- **Key Technologies:** Achieving high chemical yields requires a carefully orchestrated configuration of refinery and petrochemical process units. This typically involves maximizing the production of naphtha and LPG through extensive hydrocracking of heavier fractions, followed by feeding these streams to large-scale steam crackers and aromatics complexes.[10] Optimized FCC units designed for maximum olefin production (PetroFCC) also play a role.[21] Advanced catalysts are crucial for maximizing desired conversions and selectivities throughout the complex.[47] Novel technologies specifically designed for direct crude cracking are also emerging, such as Saudi Aramco's Thermal Crude to Chemicals (TC2C) process, developed with partners CB&I and Chevron Lummus Global (CLG), which aims for high conversion efficiency, lower capital and operating costs (30-40% reduction claimed), feedstock flexibility, and reduced emissions.[50] Technology licensors like Honeywell UOP also offer specialized routes, such as Naphtha to Ethane/Propane (NĒP) technology, targeting very high

olefin yields.[10]

- **Implementation Examples:**
  - **China:** Has been at the forefront of large-scale COTC investments. Operational examples include Hengli Petrochemical's complex in Dalian (20 MTA refining capacity, ~42% chemical yield, including 1.5 MTA ethylene, 5 MTA PX) and Zhejiang Petroleum & Chemical's (ZPC) two-phase project in Zhoushan (40 MTA refining capacity, ~45% chemical yield, including 1.4 MTA ethylene, 4 MTA PX).[10] These complexes often utilize a mix of technologies from various international licensors (e.g., Honeywell UOP, Chevron, Eni cited for ZPC).[10] Other major projects by Shenghong Petrochemical and Shandong Yulong Petrochemical represent billions more in investment.[10]
  - **Saudi Arabia:** Saudi Aramco, often in partnership with SABIC (which it acquired), has announced ambitious COTC plans, although timelines have experienced adjustments. An initial project planned for Yanbu targeted 20 MTA of crude processing with a 45% chemical yield, aiming for 70-80% eventually.[11] A large project at Ras Al-Khair (potentially processing 400,000 bpd crude to produce 9 MTA chemicals) is also under consideration.[50] These projects aim to maximize the value of Saudi crude oil resources and diversify the Kingdom's petrochemical feedstock base beyond NGLs.[11] Aramco is also involved in integrated petrochemical joint ventures like the Amiral project with TotalEnergies ($11bn investment, 1.7 MTA cracker, startup ~2027).[50]
  - **South Korea:** S-Oil, majority-owned by Saudi Aramco, integrated its existing Ulsan refinery with a new 1.8 MTA steam cracker.[10] More significantly, the upcoming Shaheen project at S-Oil (planned startup H1 2026) will be a flagship deployment of Aramco's TC2C technology, aiming for breakthrough conversion rates and economics.[50]
- **Impact and Challenges:** COTC projects represent a fundamental restructuring of the downstream sector, creating highly efficient, world-scale integrated complexes capable of shifting production between fuels and chemicals to adapt to market dynamics.[10] They promise improved profitability through economies of scale, optimized resource utilization, and potentially lower emissions due to process intensification and heat integration.[10] However, they also entail enormous capital investments (often exceeding $10-20 billion per project) [10], significant technological complexity and risk (especially for concepts targeting >50% yield), and the potential to disrupt global petrochemical markets due to the large volumes produced.[11] Fluctuating crude oil prices and regional environmental regulations can also impact project economics and feasibility.[47]

Biofuel Production and Co-processing

Driven by government mandates (like the US Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS), California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS), and the EU's Renewable Energy Directive), climate policies, and corporate sustainability goals, refineries have increasingly become involved in the production and processing of biofuels over the past 15-20 years.[14] This serves to decarbonize transportation fuels (particularly for hard-to-electrify sectors like aviation, shipping, and heavy-duty trucking [53]), diversify feedstock sources, and potentially leverage existing refinery infrastructure and expertise.

- **Key Products & Pathways:**
  - **Renewable Diesel (HVO):** Hydrotreated Vegetable Oil (also known as Hydroprocessed Esters and Fatty Acids - HEFA) is chemically very similar to petroleum diesel and can be used as a "drop-in" replacement fuel, neat or blended in any proportion.[51] It is produced by hydrotreating fats, oils, and greases (FOG), such as used cooking oil (UCO), animal fats, tallow, and vegetable oils (palm, soy, rapeseed).[53] This is currently the dominant and most commercially mature pathway for advanced biofuel production relevant to refineries.[53] Neste's proprietary NEXBTL™ technology is a leading example.[54] The process requires significant amounts of hydrogen.[58]
  - **Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF):** Produced via similar hydrotreating pathways as HVO (HEFA-SPK) or other approved routes (e.g., Alcohol-to-Jet). SAF is critical for decarbonizing aviation and is experiencing rapidly growing demand driven by mandates and airline commitments.[52] HVO facilities can often also produce SAF, sometimes requiring additional processing steps (isomerization, cracking) to meet jet fuel specifications.[53]
  - **Biodiesel (FAME):** Fatty Acid Methyl Esters are produced via transesterification of FOGs. Chemically different from petroleum diesel, it is typically blended at lower concentrations (up to 20%, B20).[51] While established, its growth has been surpassed by renewable diesel due to HVO's superior properties (drop-in capability, better cold flow) and potentially more favorable policy treatment (e.g., under RFS).[51]
  - **Refinery Conversions:** A significant trend involves converting entire existing petroleum refineries, or specific units within them, to process 100% renewable feedstocks (primarily FOGs) into HVO and SAF.[52] This leverages existing infrastructure (hydrotreaters, logistics) but requires modifications (e.g., feedstock pretreatment, hydrogen supply).
  - **Co-processing:** An alternative approach involves feeding renewable materials alongside traditional crude oil fractions into existing refinery units, most commonly hydrotreaters but also FCC units.[15] This offers a lower capital

investment route compared to standalone units or full conversions.[52] Co-processing of lipids (up to 5% allowed by ASTM D1655 in jet fuel) is commercially practiced.[53] Co-processing of more challenging feeds like pyrolysis oils or waste plastic oils is under active investigation but faces significant hurdles.[15]
    - **Other Pathways:** Technologies like biomass gasification followed by Fischer-Tropsch synthesis (Gasification+FT) or Alcohol-to-Jet (ATJ) are being pursued but are less commercially mature than HEFA.[53] Gasification+FT faces challenges with feedstock handling (as seen with Fulcrum Bioenergy's recent plant closure [53]) and syngas cleanup. ATJ is emerging, with the first commercial plant (Lanzajet) recently starting operations.[53] Direct liquefaction routes like pyrolysis followed by upgrading face major technical barriers related to bio-oil quality.[61]
- **Implementation Examples:**
    - **Neste:** A global leader in HVO and SAF production using its NEXBTL™ technology, operating large dedicated renewable refineries in Porvoo (Finland, since 2007), Rotterdam (Netherlands, since 2011), and Singapore (since 2010), plus a joint operation in Martinez, California.[54] Neste emphasizes the use of waste and residue feedstocks (~90% of input).[55]
    - **Oil Majors:** Companies like BP (through acquisition of Bunge Bioenergia in Brazil), Chevron (with its Geismar greenfield project, El Segundo co-processing unit, and acquisition of Renewable Energy Group), Eni (converting its Gela and Venice refineries), Shell, TotalEnergies, and ExxonMobil (planning production at its Strathcona refinery) are making substantial investments, primarily focused on HVO and SAF production.[52]
    - **Phillips 66 Rodeo Renewed:** A prominent example of a full refinery conversion. The former San Francisco Refinery in Rodeo, California, was converted at a cost of ~$850 million to process waste oils, fats, greases, and vegetable oils. It reached full production rates of approximately 50,000 barrels per day (800 million gallons/year) of renewable diesel and SAF in mid-2024.[59]
- **Challenges:** While HEFA technology is mature, its expansion is constrained by the availability, cost, and sustainability of lipid feedstocks.[52] Competition for FOGs (especially UCO and tallow) is intense. Utilizing more abundant lignocellulosic biomass requires overcoming the significant technical hurdles associated with pathways like pyrolysis+upgrading or gasification+FT.[53] Co-processing, particularly of non-lipid feeds like pyrolysis oils or liquefied waste plastics, presents major challenges: high oxygen content, acidity, instability, presence of contaminants (metals, chlorine, nitrogen), potential for catalyst deactivation

(coking, poisoning), corrosion risks, impacts on refinery heat balance (especially in FCC), and altered product yields and quality.[15] Ensuring miscibility with fossil feeds and managing the high hydrogen consumption for hydrotreating oxygenated feeds are also key issues.[58] Furthermore, accurately tracking the renewable carbon ("green molecules") through complex refinery processes for certification and credit generation under regulations like LCFS or RFS adds another layer of complexity.[53]

The significant push towards both deep petrochemical integration (COTC) and the incorporation of biofuels/renewable feedstocks signals a fundamental strategic adaptation by the refining industry. Faced with the long-term prospect of declining transportation fuel demand and mounting pressure to decarbonize, these pathways offer routes to new growth markets and improved sustainability credentials.[9] However, neither path is straightforward. COTC projects demand exceptionally large capital investments and involve integrating numerous complex processes, sometimes including technologies not yet proven at the intended scale, creating substantial financial and execution risks.[10] Similarly, while processing conventional lipid feedstocks for HVO is commercially established, expanding biofuel production using more abundant but challenging feedstocks like lignocellulosic biomass (via pyrolysis or gasification) or waste plastics requires overcoming significant technical obstacles related to feedstock quality, catalyst performance, and seamless integration into existing refinery operations.[53] The difficulties encountered in co-processing these advanced feedstocks highlight the gap that often exists between laboratory potential and reliable, economic industrial operation.[15] Thus, while the strategic necessity for these shifts is clear, their successful widespread implementation hinges on overcoming considerable financial barriers for COTC and persistent technical and operational challenges for advanced biofuel integration.

Table 4: Overview of Major COTC Projects (Section V)

Project Name/Location	Company(ies)	Status (as of data)	Crude Capacity (bpd or MTA)	Target Chemical Yield (%)	Key Technologies Mentioned
Hengli Petrochemical / Dalian, China	Hengli Petchem	Operating	20 MTA (~400,000 bpd)	~42%	Reconfigured refinery units, hydrocrackin

					g, steam cracker, aromatics complex [11]
Zhejiang Petchem (ZPC) / Zhoushan, China	ZPC	Operating (Phase 1&2)	40 MTA (~800,000 bpd)	~45%	Mix of licensed tech (Honeywell UOP, Chevron, Eni cited), hydrocracking, steam cracker, aromatics [10]
Aramco / SABIC Yanbu, Saudi Arabia	Saudi Aramco, SABIC	Planned (Timeline revised/delayed)	20 MTA (~400,000 bpd)	45% (Initial), aiming for 70-80%	Integrated refinery/petchem complex, potentially novel Aramco tech [10]
Aramco / SABIC Ras Al-Khair, Saudi Arabia	Saudi Aramco, SABIC	Planned/Under Consideration	400,000 bpd (~20 MTA)	High (9 MTA chemicals output)	Details TBD [50]
S-Oil Shaheen Project / Ulsan, South Korea	S-Oil (Aramco subsidiary)	Under Construction (Startup H1 2026)	N/A (Integrates with existing refinery)	High (Targeting 70-80% potential)	Aramco's Thermal Crude to Chemicals (TC2C) technology [50]
Amiral Project / Jubail, Saudi Arabia	Saudi Aramco, TotalEnergies	FID / Under Construction (Startup 2027)	N/A (Cracker fed by refinery)	N/A (Focus on 1.7 MTA cracker)	Integrated steam cracker [50]

Note: Capacities and yields are based on reported figures and targets, which may evolve.

Table 5: Comparison of Biofuel Pathways & Co-processing (Section V)

Pathway	Key Technology	Typical Feedstock(s)	Commercial Status/Scale	Key Players/Examples	Major Challenges/ Limitations
HEFA/HVO Standalone	Hydrotreating (NEXBTL™ etc.)	Fats, Oils, Greases (FOG): UCO, Animal Fat, Veg. Oils	Fully Commercial, Rapidly Growing Capacity (~25 BLPY planned/operating)	Neste, REG (Chevron), World Energy	Feedstock availability, cost, sustainability (esp. virgin oils); Hydrogen consumption [53]
Refinery Conversion	Hydrotreating (adapted units)	FOGs	Commercial, Several large projects operational/underway	Phillips 66 (Rodeo), Eni (Gela, Venice), Marathon (Martinez JV w/ Neste)	High CAPEX for conversion; Feedstock logistics; Hydrogen supply [52]
Co-processing Lipids	Hydrotreating, FCC	FOGs blended with crude fractions	Commercial (esp. hydrotreating, up to 5% in jet); FCC less common	Chevron (El Segundo), Multiple European refiners (hydrotreating)	Limited blend ratios; Potential impact on catalyst life/product quality; Tracking renewable content [52]
Co-processing Pyrolysis Oil / Plastics	Hydrotreating, FCC	Upgraded Bio-oil (from pyrolysis), Liquefied Waste	R&D / Pilot / Limited Trials; Not fully	Various research initiatives, some refiner trials (e.g.,	Poor feed quality (O2, acid, unstable, contaminant

		Plastic Oil	commercial	Preem, Marathon evaluating)	s); High upgrading cost/H2 use; Catalyst deactivation; Integration risks (corrosion, coking, yield impact) [15]
Gasification + Fischer-Tropsch (FT)	Biomass Gasification + FT Synthesis	Lignocellulosic biomass, MSW	Limited Commercial Attempts (Fulcrum closure); Several projects announced	Fulcrum Bioenergy (closed), ~16 announced projects	Feedstock handling complexity (MSW); Syngas cleanup (tars, contaminants); Process economics; Scale-up challenges [53]
Alcohol-to-Jet (ATJ)	Alcohol Dehydration & Oligomerization	Ethanol, Isobutanol (from fermentation or syngas)	Emerging Commercial; First plant operational, ~20 announced	Lanzajet (operational), Gevo	Feedstock cost/availability (esp. sustainable ethanol); Process optimization; Scale-up [53]

VI. The Road Not Taken: Uncommercialized Technologies

While the past 25 years have seen numerous technological advancements successfully implemented in refineries and petrochemical plants, other promising technologies developed during this period failed to achieve widespread commercial adoption. Understanding the reasons for these non-commercializations provides valuable context about the stringent economic and operational requirements for new technologies in this capital-intensive industry. Two prominent examples are Gas-to-Liquids (GTL) and the direct integration of biomass pyrolysis oil into refineries.

The trajectory of technologies like GTL and biomass pyrolysis integration underscores a critical reality in the refining and petrochemical sector: technical feasibility is a necessary but insufficient condition for commercial success. The economic viability, heavily influenced by volatile feedstock costs and product prices, capital intensity, and operational expenses, often proves to be the deciding factor.[66] Furthermore, the ability to seamlessly and reliably integrate a new technology into the complex, interconnected network of existing refinery processes without causing operational disruptions or compromising safety is paramount.[61] Technologies that demand highly specific and often unstable market conditions to be profitable (like the oil-gas price spread required by GTL) or those facing substantial technical hurdles in feedstock upgrading and process integration (like pyrolysis oil) inevitably struggle to compete against established processes or more readily integrated alternatives, even if they offer potential benefits such as cleaner fuels or access to renewable feedstocks.[62] Overcoming these deeply entrenched economic and integration barriers frequently presents a more formidable challenge than the initial development of the core technology itself.

Gas-to-Liquids (GTL)

- **Technology:** GTL technology converts natural gas, primarily methane, into liquid hydrocarbons like diesel, naphtha, and jet fuel. The process typically involves two main steps: first, converting natural gas into synthesis gas (syngas), a mixture of hydrogen (H_2) and carbon monoxide (CO), usually via steam reforming or partial oxidation; second, converting the syngas into liquid hydrocarbons using the Fischer-Tropsch (FT) synthesis process over a catalyst.[68]
- **Rationale:** The primary drivers for GTL development were the potential to monetize large reserves of natural gas, particularly those considered "stranded" (remote from markets and lacking pipeline infrastructure), and the ability to produce high-quality, ultra-clean liquid fuels that are virtually free of sulfur and aromatics.[68]
- **Commercial Status:** Despite decades of development and the construction of a few large-scale industrial plants (notably Shell's Pearl GTL in Qatar and Sasol's Oryx GTL also in Qatar, plus earlier plants by Sasol in South Africa originally based on coal), GTL technology has achieved very limited penetration in the global energy market.[67] Even the US shale gas boom, which led to persistently low natural gas prices for a period, did not trigger widespread investment in GTL capacity within the US.[67] Fewer than 10 industrial-scale plants are currently operational worldwide.[67]
- **Reasons for Limited Success:**
  - **High Capital Costs:** GTL plants involve complex, multi-stage processes requiring significant infrastructure (gas reformers, air separation units if using

oxidation, large FT reactors, product upgrading units). This results in very high upfront capital investment, making projects financially challenging.[67]
    - **Economic Viability Dependence:** The core profitability of GTL hinges on a substantial and sustained price difference between crude oil (which sets the price for competing liquid fuels) and natural gas (the feedstock). GTL needs relatively high oil prices and relatively low natural gas prices to be competitive.[66] Historically, this favorable "arbitrage" has not been consistently wide or stable enough, outside of specific situations like Qatar's massive, low-cost gas reserves, to justify the high capital risk.[66] Economic modeling studies, such as those conducted by MIT using computable general equilibrium models, concluded that even under various future scenarios, including potential carbon constraints (which might favor lower-carbon GTL fuels), GTL technology is unlikely to become economically viable on a large scale.[66]
    - **Energy Intensity:** The conversion process itself is energy-intensive, consuming a portion of the feedstock energy, which impacts overall efficiency and operating costs.[68]
    - **Competition:** GTL competes with other methods for monetizing natural gas, primarily Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG), which has seen much wider adoption for transporting gas to markets.[68] It also competes directly with conventional petroleum refining and, increasingly, with alternative transportation solutions like electric vehicles and biofuels.[67]

Biomass Pyrolysis (for Direct Refinery Integration)

- **Technology:** Fast pyrolysis involves rapidly heating biomass (like wood chips, agricultural residues) in the absence of oxygen (typically around 500°C) to produce a liquid product known as bio-oil or pyrolysis oil, along with biochar and non-condensable gases.[63] Catalytic Fast Pyrolysis (CFP) incorporates catalysts during or immediately after pyrolysis to partially deoxygenate and upgrade the vapors before condensation, aiming to produce a higher quality liquid intermediate (CFP-oil).[61] The vision was to use these bio-oils as renewable feedstocks that could be co-processed in existing petroleum refineries.
- **Commercial Status:** While fast pyrolysis technology itself is commercially deployed to produce bio-oil, this product is predominantly used directly for heat and power generation, often locally.[53] The large-scale integration of raw or even catalytically upgraded pyrolysis bio-oil into conventional refineries for the production of transportation fuels has not been successfully commercialized.[61] Research and pilot-scale testing continue, but significant barriers remain.[62]
- **Reasons for Limited Success (in Refinery Integration):**

- **Poor Bio-oil Quality:** Crude pyrolysis bio-oil possesses several highly undesirable properties for refinery processing. It has a very high oxygen content (typically 35-40 wt%), is highly acidic (low pH), chemically unstable (prone to polymerization and viscosity increase during storage or heating), contains significant amounts of water, and is generally immiscible with hydrocarbon streams.[58] These characteristics make it corrosive, difficult to handle and transport, and incompatible with standard refinery equipment and catalysts.[63]
- **Significant Upgrading Required:** Before pyrolysis oil can be co-processed in units like hydrotreaters or FCCs, it requires substantial and costly upgrading to remove oxygen, reduce acidity, and improve stability. This typically involves severe hydrotreating, which consumes large quantities of expensive hydrogen and faces major challenges with catalyst deactivation due to the oil's reactive nature and potential contaminants (alkali metals, char fines).[58]
- **Catalytic Fast Pyrolysis (CFP) Challenges:** While CFP aims to improve bio-oil quality directly, it introduces its own set of problems. Achieving significant deoxygenation often leads to lower liquid yields (more carbon lost to coke and gas).[63] Furthermore, the catalysts used in CFP suffer from rapid deactivation due to coke deposition and poisoning by inorganic components present in the biomass feedstock (e.g., alkali and alkaline earth metals).[63] Maintaining stable, long-term operation of integrated CFP systems has proven difficult.[61]
- **Refinery Integration Complexity:** Even partially upgraded bio-oils (CFP-oils) still contain significant oxygen and reactive species, posing risks when introduced into refinery units. Potential issues include accelerated catalyst coking and deactivation in FCC or hydrotreaters, corrosion, equipment fouling, altered heat balances, and unpredictable impacts on the final product slate and quality.[15] Demonstrating reliable and non-disruptive co-processing using existing refinery infrastructure remains a key challenge.[61]
- **Unfavorable Economics:** The combined costs associated with the pyrolysis process itself, the extensive upgrading required (including high hydrogen consumption), potential negative impacts on refinery operations, and the logistical challenges of sourcing biomass and transporting bio-oil have generally rendered this pathway economically uncompetitive compared to conventional petroleum processing or even established biofuel routes like HEFA from lipids.[62] Achieving economic viability likely requires efficient utilization and valorization of all co-products (biochar, gases), which adds further complexity.[53]

VII. Conclusion and Future Outlook

Synthesis of the Past 25 Years

The petroleum refining and petrochemical industries have navigated a period of intense change over the last quarter-century, driven by evolving markets, stringent regulations, and the accelerating pace of technological innovation. The dominant themes of this era include:

- **Continuous Catalytic Innovation:** Driven initially by the need for cleaner fuels (ULSD) and later by the push for higher petrochemical yields and feedstock flexibility, catalysis saw significant advancements. Tailored solutions like ZSM-5 additives in FCC, hierarchical pore structures, highly active hydroprocessing catalysts, and novel synthesis methods like ALD enabled major improvements in efficiency, selectivity, and the ability to process challenging feeds.[3]
- **Shift Towards Decarbonization:** Environmental technology focus evolved from controlling traditional pollutants (SOx, NOx) to mitigating greenhouse gas emissions. CCUS emerged as a key, albeit expensive and complex, technology, with significant projects moving forward, often linked to hydrogen production or industrial hubs.[25]
- **Digital Transformation:** The adoption of IIoT, advanced analytics, AI/ML, and digital twins gained significant momentum, providing powerful tools to manage operational complexity, optimize performance, enhance reliability, and improve safety in data-rich refinery environments.[35]
- **Strategic Repositioning:** Faced with plateauing fuel demand and growing chemical markets, the industry pursued deeper integration between refining and petrochemicals, culminating in multi-billion dollar COTC investments designed to maximize chemical output directly from crude oil.[10] Simultaneously, investments surged in biofuel production (primarily HVO/SAF via HEFA) and refinery conversions to meet renewable fuel mandates and sustainability goals.[52]

These implemented technologies have had a profound impact, enabling the production of significantly cleaner fuels, increasing the yield of valuable petrochemicals, enhancing operational efficiency and flexibility, and providing pathways for decarbonization. However, the period also demonstrated that technological potential does not guarantee commercial success. Technologies like GTL and direct biomass pyrolysis integration failed to gain widespread traction primarily due to unfavorable economics (high CAPEX, feedstock cost dependencies) and significant technical challenges related to process integration and feedstock quality management.[62]

Key Enduring Trends

Looking forward, several key trends are poised to continue shaping the technological landscape of the refining and petrochemical sectors:

- **Sustainability Imperative:** The drive for decarbonization, circular economy practices (including chemical recycling of plastics [8]), and overall improved environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance will remain a primary motivator for technological innovation and investment decisions.[12]
- **Feedstock Flexibility and Diversification:** The ability to process a wider range of inputs – including heavier or opportunity crudes, residues, renewable biomass-derived intermediates, waste plastics, and potentially captured CO2 – will be crucial for maintaining competitiveness and resilience.[15]
- **Deepening Integration:** The trend towards closer integration between refining, petrochemicals, hydrogen production (both blue and green), and potentially bio-based processes is likely to continue, creating complex, highly optimized energy and chemical hubs.[10]
- **Pervasive Digitalization:** Digital technologies, particularly AI and advanced analytics layered onto digital twins and integrated control systems, will become increasingly central to managing complexity, maximizing efficiency, ensuring reliability, and potentially enabling more autonomous operations.[35]

Future Perspectives

Future research and development efforts are likely to concentrate on addressing the remaining challenges and opportunities presented by these trends. Key areas include developing more cost-effective and energy-efficient CCUS technologies, particularly for dilute CO2 sources; creating novel catalysts for difficult conversions like direct methane-to-olefins or more efficient chemical recycling of mixed plastic waste [8]; advancing technologies for producing next-generation biofuels from abundant, non-food feedstocks like lignocellulose or algae; scaling up low-carbon (blue and green) hydrogen production and integrating its use within refinery operations for process heat and hydroprocessing [6]; and further leveraging AI for predictive modeling, real-time optimization, and potentially autonomous control of complex, integrated facilities.

The traditional role of the petroleum refinery is fundamentally evolving. It is transitioning from primarily a producer of transportation fuels towards a more complex and integrated energy and chemicals facility, capable of processing a diverse array of feedstocks (fossil, renewable, recycled) and producing a flexible slate of outputs (low-carbon fuels, chemical intermediates, hydrogen). Navigating this transition successfully will require continued strategic investment in innovation, effective management of technological and market risks, and a commitment to adapting business models to the realities of a lower-carbon energy future.[1]

Works cited

1. The Role of Innovation and Technology in Sustaining the Petroleum and Petrochemical Industry* - - UAL Research Online, accessed May 3, 2025, https://ualresearchonline.arts.ac.uk/id/eprint/10815/1/PetrolReview_February%202017%20-%20NoHighlights.pdf
2. From the TWA Editor: Petroleum Engineering—From the Past 20 Years to the Next 20 - JPT, accessed May 3, 2025, https://jpt.spe.org/twa/from-the-twa-editor-petroleum-engineering-from-the-past-20-years-to-the-next-20
3. Recent Advancements in Catalysts for Petroleum Refining - MDPI, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4344/14/12/841
4. (PDF) Recent Advancements in Catalysts for Petroleum Refining - ResearchGate, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/386043349_Recent_Advancements_in_Catalysts_for_Petroleum_Refining
5. How Advances in Catalysts Over the Years Have Maximized Industrial Benefits - MERYT, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.meryt-chemical.com/how-advances-in-catalysts-over-the-years-have-maximized-industrial-benefits/
6. 9288 PDFs | Review articles in PETROLEUM REFINING - ResearchGate, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/topic/Petroleum-Refining~Oil-Refining/publications
7. The refining and petrochemical industries: 170 years of innovation, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.afpm.org/newsroom/blog/refining-and-petrochemical-industries-170-years-innovation
8. Catalysis for oil refining and petrochemistry, recent developments and future trends, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/229385448_Catalysis_for_oil_refining_and_petrochemistry_recent_developments_and_future_trends
9. A Viewpoint on the Refinery of the Future: Catalyst and Process Challenges | ACS Catalysis, accessed May 3, 2025, https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acscatal.0c02209
10. Crude oil to chemicals (COTC) The future of petrochemicals? - NexantECA, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.nexanteca.com/blog/202502/crude-oil-chemicals-cotc-future-petrochemicals
11. New Horizons in COTC, and Refinery and Petrochemical - Euro Petroleum Consultants, accessed May 3, 2025, https://europetro.com/media/2020/new-horizons-in-cotc-and-refinery-and-petr

ochemical
12. A Comprehensive Analysis of the Evolution of the Oil & Gas Industry: From Past to Present and Future Challenges - ResearchGate, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382114342_A_Comprehensive_Analysis_of_the_Evolution_of_the_Oil_Gas_Industry_From_Past_to_Present_and_Future_Challenges
13. Pioneering Sustainable Solutions in Petrochemicals with Advanced Catalysts - AZoM, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.azom.com/article.aspx?ArticleID=24320
14. Refining Transformed: Innovations for a Sustainable Future - Energies Media, accessed May 3, 2025, https://energiesmedia.com/the-future-of-the-refining-industry-innovations-and-sustainability/
15. Co-processing of bio-based feedstocks in the FCC unit - Decarbonisation Technology, accessed May 3, 2025, https://decarbonisationtechnology.com/article/99/co-processing-of-bio-based-feedstocks-in-the-fcc-unit
16. A Review of Recent Advances on Process Technologies for Upgrading Of Heavy Oils and Residua - ResearchGate, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/222549406_A_Review_of_Recent_Advances_on_Process_Technologies_for_Upgrading_Of_Heavy_Oils_and_Residua
17. Advances in Catalysis for Industrial Chemical Processes - Inspenet, accessed May 3, 2025, https://inspenet.com/en/articulo/advances-in-catalysis-chemical-processes/
18. Role of Catalyst in Optimizing Fluid Catalytic Cracking Performance During Cracking of H-Oil-Derived Gas Oils, accessed May 3, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7992162/
19. ART Guidelines for Troubleshooting Hydrotreater Performance Problems - Refining Community, accessed May 3, 2025, http://operations.refiningcommunity.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/5/2015/11/Newsletter-Refinery-Operations-2010Vol.INo_.9.pdf
20. Performance of ZSM-5 as a Fluid Catalytic Cracking Catalyst Additive: Effect of the Total Number of Acid Sites and Particle Size - ACS Publications, accessed May 3, 2025, https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ie980395j
21. Combination of FCC and Hydrocracking Technologies in the Refining Hardware – Profiting from High Bottom Barrel Conversion, accessed May 3, 2025, https://refiningcommunity.com/combination-of-fcc-and-hydrocracking-technologies-in-the-refining-hardware-profiting-from-high-bottom-barrel-conversion/
22. Effect of ZSM-5 adding to FCC catalyst in product distribution and... - ResearchGate, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Effect-of-ZSM-5-adding-to-FCC-catalyst-in-product-distribution-and-olefin-yield-in-FCC_fig7_235248967
23. Optimising refinery margins with rejuvenated catalysts | Evonik, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.evonik.com/content/dam/evonik/documents/marketing-material/EVO

_PTQ_Optimising-refinery-margins-with-rejuvenated-catalysts.pdf.coredownload.pdf
24. Accelerated Catalyst Evaluation Rejuvenated catalysts optimise refinery margins in high severity ULSD applications Accelerated Catalyst Evaluation - hte GmbH, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.hte-company.com/en/accelerated-catalyst-evaluationrejuvenated-catalysts-optimise-refinery-margins-in-high-severity-ulsd-applicationsaccelerated-catalyst-evaluation/
25. www.globalccsinstitute.com, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.globalccsinstitute.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/Advancements-in-CCS-Technologies-and-Costs-Report-2025.pdf
26. Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage: Technologies and Costs in the U.S. Context, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.belfercenter.org/publication/carbon-capture-utilization-and-storage-technologies-and-costs-us-context
27. Low-carbon hydrogen: Fueling our Baytown facilities and our net-zero ambition, accessed May 3, 2025, https://corporate.exxonmobil.com/news/viewpoints/low-carbon-hydrogen
28. Porthos - The CCUS Hub, accessed May 3, 2025, https://ccushub.ogci.com/focus_hubs/rotterdam-porthos/
29. Recent Advancements in the Treatment of Petroleum Refinery Wastewater - MDPI, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/15/20/3676
30. energiesmedia.com, accessed May 3, 2025, https://energiesmedia.com/using-technology-in-the-carbon-capture-process/#:~:text=CCUS%20encompasses%20technologies%20that%20capture,into%20underground%20CO2%20storage%20formations.
31. Carbon Capture Utilisation and Storage - Energy System - IEA, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.iea.org/energy-system/carbon-capture-utilisation-and-storage
32. An Introduction to Carbon Capture & Storage Technology - Integrity Energy, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.integrityenergy.com/blog/an-introduction-to-carbon-capture-storage-technology/
33. Baytown area operations - ExxonMobil, accessed May 3, 2025, https://corporate.exxonmobil.com/locations/united-states/baytown-operations
34. Recent advancements in hydrocarbon bioremediation and future challenges: a review - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed May 3, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9127022/
35. Can Digitalization Revolutionize the Petrochemical Industry? - Ingenero Technologies, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.ingenero.com/blog/can-digitalization-revolutionize-the-petrochemical-industry/
36. Digital Technology and its Impact on the Petrochemical Industry - Anchorage Investments, accessed May 3, 2025, https://anchinv.com/digital-technology-and-its-impact-on-the-petrochemical-industry/

37. Refinery 4.0, a Review of the Main Challenges of the Industry 4.0 Paradigm in Oil & Gas Downstream - MDPI, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/22/23/9164
38. Digitalisation in refining and petrochemicals - DigitalRefining, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.digitalrefining.com/article/1002387/digitalisation-in-refining-and-petrochemicals
39. How to integrate process, digital technologies to increase petrochemical factory output, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.controleng.com/how-to-integrate-process-digital-technologies-to-increase-petrochemical-factory-output/
40. Top 5 Use Cases for Digital Transformation in the Oil and Gas Industry - Basetwo AI, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.basetwo.ai/blogs/top-5-use-cases-for-digital-transformation-in-the-oil-and-gas-industry
41. Digital Twins to the Rescue How Refineries can Quickly Gain Value in Current Market, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.aspentech.com/en/resources/on-demand-webinars/digital-twins-to-the-rescue-how-refineries-can-quickly-gain-value-in-current-market
42. How a Major Refinery Deployed Real-Time Digital Twins for Multiple Units to Improve Operations - AspenTech, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.aspentech.com/en/resources/on-demand-webinars/how-a-major-refinery-deployed-real-time-digital-twins-for-multiple-units-to-improve-operations
43. Optimize Refining Operations Using Plant Digital Twin Based on Molecular Modeling - AspenTech, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.aspentech.com/-/media/aspentech/home/resources/white-papers/pdfs/fy21/q3/at-05347-wp-molecular-modeling.pdf
44. Leading Digital Twin Providers Transforming the Energy Sector: Innovations in Efficiency and Emissions Reduction, accessed May 3, 2025, https://digitaltwininsider.com/2024/06/14/leading-digital-twin-providers-transforming-the-energy-sector-innovations-in-efficiency-and-emissions-reduction/
45. How digitization and automation increase profitability for chemical and refining plants - ABB, accessed May 3, 2025, https://new.abb.com/news/detail/53695/how-digitization-and-automation-increase-profitability-for-chemical-and-refining-plants
46. FCC co-processing of biogenic and recyclable feedstocks: Part I - DigitalRefining, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.digitalrefining.com/article/1003069/fcc-co-processing-of-biogenic-and-recyclable-feedstocks-part-i
47. The Future of Refining & Petrochemicals Integration - Anchorage Investments, accessed May 3, 2025, https://anchinv.com/the-future-of-refining-petrochemicals-integration-trends-innovations/
48. Biofuels Market Research 2019-2024, 2025-2029 & 2034: Renewable Energy

Targets and Advancements in Feedstock Production Driving Industry Growth - GlobeNewswire, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2025/01/23/3014077/28124/en/Biofuels-Market-Research-2019-2024-2025-2029-2034-Renewable-Energy-Targets-and-Advancements-in-Feedstock-Production-Driving-Industry-Growth.html
49. Crude oil to chemicals | Aramco, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.aramco.com/en/what-we-do/energy-innovation/advancing-energy-solutions/crude-oil-to-chemicals
50. Details of how Saudi Aramco COTC and other advantaged feedstock projects could redraw the petrochemicals map – Asian Chemical Connections - ICIS, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.icis.com/asian-chemical-connections/2023/11/details-of-how-saudi-aramco-cotc-and-other-advantaged-feedstock-projects-could-redraw-the-petrochemicals-map/
51. Biofuels are displacing petroleum-based distillate fuel oil consumption on the West Coast - U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA), accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.php?id=57040
52. A major turn: Big Oil bets on biofuels with more than 40 projects ..., accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.rystadenergy.com/news/big-oil-bets-on-biofuels
53. www.ieabioenergy.com, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.ieabioenergy.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/09/IEA-Bioenergy-Task-39-drop-in-biofuels-and-co-processing-report-June-2024.pdf
54. Neste Renewable Diesel - Wikipedia, accessed May 3, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neste_Renewable_Diesel
55. Renewable diesel | Neste, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.neste.com/products-and-innovation/neste-my-renewable-diesel
56. Production | Neste, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.neste.com/en-sg/about-neste/how-we-operate/production
57. Porvoo refinery - Neste, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.neste.com/about-neste/how-we-operate/production/porvoo-refinery
58. www.ieabioenergy.com, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.ieabioenergy.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Task-39-Drop-in-Biofuels-Full-Report-January-2019.pdf
59. Phillips 66 to Convert Refinery to a Renewable Fuels Facility | Smart Energy Decisions, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.smartenergydecisions.com/news/phillips-66-to-convert-refinery-to-a-renewable-fuels-facility/
60. Phillips 66 Reaches Renewable Diesel Milestone - Smart Energy Decisions, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.smartenergydecisions.com/news/phillips-66-reaches-renewable-diesel-milestone/
61. Catalytic Pyrolysis and Research Needed To Accelerate Its Commercialization, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.chemcatbio.org/resources/technology-briefs/catalytic-pyrolysis-and

-research-needed-to-accelerate-its-commercialization
62. Advancements and challenges in the production of low-carbon fuels via catalytic fast pyrolysis of biomass through refinery integration and co-product generation, accessed May 3, 2025, https://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlehtml/2023/gc/d3gc01574b
63. Perspectives of Biomass Catalytic Fast Pyrolysis for Co-refining: Review and Correlation of Literature Data from Continuously Operated Setups | Energy & Fuels - ACS Publications, accessed May 3, 2025, https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.2c02389
64. Phillips 66 Rodeo Renewed Project | Contra Costa County, CA Official Website, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.contracosta.ca.gov/7945/Phillips-66-Rodeo-Renewed-Project
65. Phillips 66 Achieves Full Production Rates of Renewable Fuel, accessed May 3, 2025, https://investor.phillips66.com/financial-information/news-releases/news-release-details/2024/Phillips-66-Achieves-Full-Production-Rates-of-Renewable-Fuel/
66. globalchange.mit.edu, accessed May 3, 2025, https://globalchange.mit.edu/system/files/MITJPSPGC_Reprint_17-8.pdf
67. Is there a future for gas-to-liquids technology? - MIT Global Change, accessed May 3, 2025, https://globalchange.mit.edu/news-media/jp-news-outreach/there-future-gas-liquids-technology
68. Gas to Liquids Technology | Lee Enterprises Consulting, accessed May 3, 2025, https://lee-enterprises.com/gas-to-liquids-technology/
69. Comprehensive Review of Biomass Pyrolysis: Conventional and Advanced Technologies, Reactor Designs, Product Compositions and Yields, and Techno-Economic Analysis - MDPI, accessed May 3, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/17/20/5082
70. Insight into the Sustainable Integration of Bio- and Petroleum Refineries for the Production of Fuels and Chemicals - PubMed Central, accessed May 3, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7284462/